# Setting a new standard in cataract surgery safety

## By Jens Jordan, MD

Cataract surgery with phacoemulsification has been recognized for many years to be one of the safest and most effective surgical procedures. Nevertheless, there has been continued effort on the part of our industry partners to develop advances in technologies that will further increase surgical success.

In September 2021, a new phacoemulsification system came onto the market - the QUATERA® 700 from ZEISS (FIGURE 1). Before it became commercially available, I had the opportunity to use the new device in a wet lab setting operating on enucleated porcine eyes. Then, after it was approved for human use, I used the QUATERA for 3 days at my surgery center. I will need more experience with the device before I can fully appreciate all of its features. Based on my initial limited experience, however, I can state conclusively that I was impressed by its performance for providing unsurpassed anterior chamber stability in challenging cases. Being able to work in a controlled intraocular environment made me feel comfortable and relaxed, and by the end of the first day I was looking forward to operating again with the ZEISS QUATERA 700.

#### Quiet and controlled anterior chamber

The device is built with a number of new features. However, its ZEISS patented QUATTRO<sup>®</sup> Pump represents its most remarkable asset, distinguishing the ZEISS QUATERA 700 from other phacoemulsification machines in its design and performance for controlling fluidics.

Differing from venturi and peristaltic pumps, the QUATTRO Pump directly controls both infusion and aspiration and operates via four syringe-like chambers that provide synchronous exchange of infusion and aspiration (FIGURE 2). Sensors directly measure actual irrigation and aspiration flow and automatically compensate for incisional leakage to maintain the pre-set target IOP independent of vacuum limit or IOP level. The QUATTRO Pump enables rapid stabilization of free flow state IOP after occlusion break in about 200 ms (Data collected by Carl Zeiss Meditec), and consequently I did not experience surge.

Watch



The QUATTRO Pump is designed to keep the anterior chamber stable throughout surgery, and at least in my early experience, it achieves this goal. During the days when I had the QUATERA at my center I used it on all scheduled patients. This unselected cohort represented the full spectrum of routine and challenging cases that are encountered in clinical practice and included eyes with hyperopia and a shallow anterior chamber, small pupils, pseudoexfoliation, and cataracts with very hard nuclei. In all of the procedures, including those that tend to be stressful situations themselves, and even if there was fluid outflow from the main or side-port incisions, I felt very comfortable because I encountered no incidences of anterior chamber shallowing, forward bulging of the posterior capsule, or excessive turbulence.

Working in this controlled environment gave me the confidence to use presets for my fluidics settings that are higher than my usual settings in order to increase my efficiency in more complicated cases, such in eves with a hard nucleus, pseudoexfoliation or miotic pupil. At first I operated with my standard vacuum of 330 mmHg and flow rate of 33 cc/min. After several cases and with the knowledgeable guidance of my manufacturer's representative, I felt comfortable that safety would not be compromised by higher settings. Setting the machine for a rapid rise in vacuum and up to 625 mmHg and for 60 cc/min for flow improved efficiency while the anterior chamber remained stable. The ZEISS QUATERA 700 provided stable non-phaco suction for chopping the nucleus and good "grabbing" of fragments along with controlled anterior pulling of the fragments for emulsification. There also seemed to be less iridal movement during surgery.

#### A brief glance at other features

The ZEISS OUATERA 700 also has Automated Ultrasound Power on Demand, meaning that ultrasound is delivered only when it is needed. Unlike with other phaco machines, when operating with the QUATERA there is no need to repeatedly use the foot pedal to control ultrasound power. With Power on Demand, ultrasound is only provided when full occlusion is detected, and ultrasound is deactivated when the occlusion breaks, thus, reducing the ultrasound energy to a minimum. Although I feel that I will need more time to fully understand how best to work with Automated Ultrasound Power on Demand, I was able to appreciate how it could provide improved fragment followability and more efficient surgery. In fact I was surprised in some cases by how little energy was used. Reducing ultrasound power use during cataract surgery can lead to greater safety, minimize corneal endothelial cell stress, and enable faster visual recovery.

The ZEISS QUATERA 700 also has a dual-linear foot pedal that allows surgeons to easily control ultrasound energy, and I especially enjoyed this feature that is lacking on the machine I have been using. Another design element of the new phaco machine that I and my entire team liked a lot is that the phaco screen integrates the microscope view, which allows the OR staff to see what the surgeon sees. By having a real-time perspective of how the surgery is progressing, the OR nurse can anticipate the next steps and have the necessary instruments ready as soon as the surgeon is about to ask for them, enabling OR workflow efficiency. As with all new equipment, staff needs thorough introduction to the system and on-screen menu management. Yet, after receiving training, the OR personnel found system initiation of the QUATERA before each operation and handling of its on-screen menu easier compared to other machines.

The ZEISS QUATERA 700 is also capable of digital integration with the IOLMaster<sup>®</sup> and CALLISTO eye<sup>®</sup> (both Carl Zeiss Meditec AG). It allows surgeons to see patient data from the IOLMaster displayed on the QUATERA screen and to have integrated workflow of the CALLISTO eye assistance function and phaco steps for full control of the surgical workflow from one sterile cockpit. This digital integration of technology brings important benefits from streamlining cataract surgery workflow and reducing human input errors.

### Conclusion

It is usual to experience some stress and apprehension when operating on patients with equipment that is new and unfamiliar. For that reason, it was amazing to me how quickly those feelings disappeared during my initial experience using the ZEISS QUATERA 700 because of how well it handled fragments and maintained anterior chamber stability in a variety of situations. Not only did I look forward to the next day's surgical schedule at the end of my first and second days using the new device, but I missed it after the 3-day trial ended. When I returned to using my own phacoemulsification system I had the feeling that I was going back to driving an older car that lacks the comfort and safety benefits of the latest vehicles.

The ZEISS QUATERA 700 offers many options for finetuning settings that provide the opportunity to optimize the surgical efficiency and safety in various surgical scenarios. After using the machine during just a short testing period, I was able to determine fluidics settings that I felt were excellent for my technique. Different cataract surgeons use different techniques and have different preferences for phacoemulsification settings. As with all new machines, as surgeons begin to use the QUATERA, they will need professional help and some time to learn how to program it and find settings tailored to their preferences and needs. Having had a limited testing period, I still need more experience with the new machine to understand how best to use it. Considering the control and safety it provides, I expect that when I resume on the road that takes me on my learning curve with the ZEISS QUATERA 700, I will have an enjoyable and rewarding journey.

Prof. Dr. Jens Jordan is in private practice in Frankfurt/Main, Germany. He is a consultant to Carl Zeiss Meditec.  $en-NT_{32}$ \_010\_01391 The statements of the author reflect only his personal opinion and the opinion of any institution with whom he is affiliated. The author alone is responsible fany potential resulting infringements. Carl Zeiss Meditec AG and its affiliates do not have statements of the author nor accept any responsibility or liability of the authors' content. experiences and do not necessarily reflect for the content of his experience reported : a clinical evidence supporting the opinions

